

CONFIDENTIAL**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET****SUBJECT:** (Optional)

SECOM Meeting - 3 April 1985

FROM**EXTENSION****NO.****DATE**

1 April 1985

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)**DATE****RECEIVED****FORWARDED****OFFICER'S INITIALS****COMMENTS** (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)1.
D/S

Attached for D/S's package for 3 April 1985 SECOM meeting.

2.

Action items for D/S appear in comments section in yellow marking. They include:

3.

° Discussing

appointment to "Project Slammer" with C/SECOM prior to the meeting.

4.

5.

° Discussing proposal to transfer Acoustic Shielded Enclosures Working Group from R&D Subcommittee to TSCS with C/SECOM and with Dr. Eckman prior to the meeting.

6.

7.

8.

° Propose transfer as stated above at 3 April meeting.

9.

NOTE:

10.

Physical Security course to gain SECOM's support and acquire additional resources.

11.

12.

13.

14.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

Two-Hundred and Eighty-Second Meeting

Wednesday, 3 April 1985

Community Headquarters Building

Agenda

CONFIDENTIAL
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE
Security Committee

C/386
mha

* SECOM-A-273

20 March 1985

AGENDA

Two Hundred and Eighty-second Meeting
Wednesday, 3 April 1985, 10:00 a.m.
Room 1S06, [REDACTED]

25X1

Preliminary Comments

- ITEM 1 Approval of Minutes of 6 March meeting
- ITEM 2 Briefing on 4C System (Chief, Special Security Center, CIA, will brief members on the current and planned status of the system; will cover specific concerns raised by SECOM members; and will answer questions)
- ITEM 3 SECOM Behavioral Sciences Symposium - III (members will be briefed on the highlights of the 25-26 March symposium, with specific regard to recommendations for study projects. Member reactions will be sought to determine which items have the greatest Community support)
- ITEM 4 SECOM R&D and Procurement Projects (follow up to discussion at 6 March meeting to cover new information and to determine areas of principal Community interest)
- ITEM 5 New Business
- ITEM 6 Next Meeting - 1 May 1985

PLEASE NOTE THAT WE ARE BACK TO A MORNING MEETING, HAVING BEEN BUMPED FROM OUR AFTERNOON MEETING ROOM

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

Page Denied

AGENDA COMMENTS

PRELIMINARY COMMENTS

LEAK MATTERS---It is expected that the SSCI and the JCS will requests leak briefings from SECOM. C/SECOM will comment on these requests if they are received prior to the meeting.

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR CAP---The status of the letter to be sent to the Director of NSA will be discussed. As of this writing, the letter has gone out of the IC staff to the DDCI's office.

NOMINATIONS FOR SECOM POSITIONS---The status of nominations for Chairman/UDIS, Chairman/Per SecSub, Chairman/Security Education and Awareness and for [] will be discussed. Attached as TAB A are the nominees that CIA has sent forth to SECOM for the chairmanships of PerSecSub and UDIS subcommittees who are [] respectively. [] position is still vacant. [] will sit on Computer Security Subcommittee. [] wants to discuss with D/OS (via telephone) C/SAG's request that [] serve as a full member of the Project Slammer team before this item is mentioned at the meeting. []

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Draft DCID on TSCM---The status of the Draft will be a topic of preliminary commentary. It was forwarded to the IC staff along with the letter to DIRNSA re TOR for CAP.

DCID 1/21---This DCID is still working and C/SECOM will assure attendees that it is not forgotten especially in light of repercussions of CAP activities..

MEMBERSHIP FOR THE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE FUTURE---As discussed in the October 1984 SECOM seminar, nominations for members of the Subcommittee for the Future will be requested Tab B.

ITEM 1--APPROVAL OF 6 March 1985 MINUTES

ACTION TAKEN RE MINUTES

LIST OF ESPIONAGE CASES FOR PROJECT SLAMMER--SAG has submitted three cases for study in this project: the Boyce/Lee case, the Kampiles case and the Barnett case as indicated in Tab C.

CONFIDENTIAL

CIA MEMBER ON NTISSC--D/OS noted that he would approach [] concerning [] suggestion that there should be a representative from OS going to the NTISSC with the EXDIR. C/TSD recommends a Security presence at these functions.

25X1

25X1

TIME SPENT ON SECOM--D/OS time has been submitted as well as TSCS time. [] will submit for PerSecSub and, after [] is named as chairman of UDIS, his input will be requested since this committee has not yet complied with this tasking.

25X1

25X1

STORING OF SCI NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENTS --The Compartmentation Subcommittee is asked to review the problem and provide recommendations by 19 April. [] says this is a non-problem for CIA since we store in security files.

25X1

LEAKS OF UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION IN UNCLASSIFIED SYMPOSIA--We have provided a response attached as Tab D which was researched by SAG and reviewed by OGC. The response stresses security education for those attending such symposia, a more stringent external activities approval process and better leak data collection for determination of specific instances for such disclosures as well as legal or administrative remedies for violators. OGC suggested no reference to Hirsch memo citing rights to free speech and assembly.

ITEM 2. BRIEFING ON 4C SYSTEM --[] will give a 20 minute briefing on the system and answer questions.

25X1

ITEM 3. SECOM BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES SYMPOSIUM: Members will be briefed on symposium which took place 25 and 26 March Tab E.

[] attended for OS and [] and [] attended several of the sessions. Three major projects were discussed in the course of the symposium: Major Hibler's "Project Slammer, Dr. Joseph Krofchek's (a consulting psychiatrist with Rand Corp) study to "Examine Types of Human Failure" and [] (consultant) proposal to "Conduct Exit Interviews of Personnel in Critical Sensitive Positions" who have become suitability failures. Members reactions to determine which items have the greatest community support will be sought after the briefing. PerSecSub will present a proposal for study, in addition to these three, to SECOM in the near future.

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

ITEM 4 SECOM R&D AND PROCUREMENT PROJECTS---Continuation of the discussion at 6 March meeting to see which projects have greatest support and what shifts in emphasis have occurred after a review of the projects. Polygraph studies seem to have greatest support and funds will be delegated to those studies.

[redacted] Polygraph Division will begin CIA's proposed study as soon as priority workload permits. Greymail proposal has been scrubbed. The study proposals and our response is attached at Tab F also attached is our response regarding Information Security R&D.

25X1

ITEM 5 NEW BUSINESS---At this writing SECOM has none to propose.

ACOUSTIC SHIELDED ENCLOSURES WORKING GROUP--Chairman/TSCS suggests that D/OS make the following proposal: The Acoustic Shielded Enclosures Working Group, currently a part of the R&D Subcommittee chaired by Dr. Phillip Eckman be transferred to the TSCS. The rationale for this is that [redacted] currently chairs the Working Group but all efforts done in the community for Acoustic Shielded Enclosures comes under the auspices of TSD. C/TSD has discussed this matter with D/OS several weeks ago. He has also discussed the matter with Chairman/SECOM who agrees with the proposal. Dr. Eckman has not been approached with the proposal by either C/SECOM or C/TSCS. [redacted] suggests that the topic be broached only if Dr. Eckman is present at the meeting. He also suggests that D/OS might want to talk with Dr. Eckman before the meeting. In any case, D/OS is requested to speak to C/SECOM (via telephone) on the matter prior to the meeting.

25X1

25X1

PHYSICAL SECURITY COURSE--Chairman/SECOM advises that he has recently spoken with [redacted]

[redacted] concerning the self defense program they are running for OTE. They have asked for SECOM's support and [redacted] has invited them to give a briefing to SECOM members at this meeting. It will be suggested to members that the three will be available to provide training for individuals from other agencies who wish to be instructors themselves on a quid pro quo basis since they are short of people and want to supplement their staff with trained instructors from the Community.

25X1

25X1

25X1

ITEM 6 NEXT MEETING- 1 May 1985

Page Denied

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional) Nominations for SECOM Staff Position and for Subcommittee Chairmanships

FROM

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

13 March 1985

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/Policy Br.

3/14/85

JR

2. C/PPG

3/14/85

mhu

3. DD/P&M

3/11/85

D

4. ~~DD/S~~5. ~~D/S~~

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

25X1

25X1

FORM
1-79

610

USE PREVIOUS
EDITIONS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

13 MAR 85

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, SECOM

FROM: [REDACTED] 25X1
SECOM Member

SUBJECT: Nominations for SECOM Staff Position and for Subcommittee Chairmanships [REDACTED] 25X1

REFERENCES: A. SECOM-D-057, dtd 26 Feb 1985, Same Subject
B. SECOM-D-056, dtd 26 Feb 1985, Subject: Computer Security Subcommittee

1. This memorandum is in response to Chairman, SECOM's, request that this Agency offer nominations for the SECOM staff position and for the various vacant Subcommittee Chairmanships as well as provide support for the Computer Security Subcommittee. [REDACTED] 25X1

2. This Agency's nominee for the chairmanship of the Unauthorized Disclosure Investigations Subcommittee is [REDACTED] who is presently assigned to the Office of Security/Security Analysis Group. [REDACTED] 25X1
25X1

3. [REDACTED], Chief of the Policy and Plans Group of the Office of Security, is this Agency's nominee to assume the chairmanship of the Personnel Security Subcommittee. [REDACTED] 25X1
25X1

4. In response to tasking requested in Reference B, [REDACTED] will represent the Agency in the Computer Security Subcommittee. The recent creation of the Office of Information Technology (OIT) at CIA has resulted in the transfer of part of the former Information Systems Security Group from the Office of Security to OIT. [REDACTED] will be the Branch Chief of the unit that will stay behind in the Office of Security and will be responsible for this Agency's computer security policy. [REDACTED] is prepared to devote sufficient time to SECOM activities as may be required. [REDACTED] 25X1
25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

5. Although I have not offered nominations for [redacted]
[redacted] replacement or for the Chairman of the Security
Education and Awareness Subcommittee, I am confident that
suitable candidates will be suggested by other members of the
Security Committee. [redacted]

25X1

25X1

25X1

!OS/P&M/PPG [redacted] (13 Mar 85)!

25X1

!Distribution:!
! Orig - Adse!
! 1 - D/S!!
! 1 - OS Registry!
! 1 - PPG Chrono!
! 1 - SECOM file

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional) **Notification for "Project Slammer" Team**

FROM [REDACTED]

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Chairman, SECOM

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, SECOM

FROM:

SECOM Member

25X1

SUBJECT:

Nomination for "Project Slammer" Team

25X1

REFERENCE:

SECOM-M-286, dated 14 March 1985, Same Subject

1. This memorandum is in response to Chairman, SECOM's request that SECOM members evaluate their capabilities to provide a fourth member for the "Project Slammer" team.

25X1

2. This Agency's nominee for that position is [redacted] who is assigned to the Office of Security/Security Analysis Group. [redacted] is currently involved in research which correlates to the goals of "Project Slammer." It is our hope that [redacted] will be directly involved in the project and not function solely in an administrative capacity.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. [redacted] may be contacted on black line [redacted] secure [redacted]

25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, SECOM

FROM:

[REDACTED]
SECOM Member

25X1

SUBJECT:

Nomination for "Project Slammer" Team [REDACTED]

25X1

REFERENCE:

SECOM-M-286, dated 14 March 1985, Same Subject

1. This memorandum is in response to Chairman, SECOM's request that SECOM members evaluate their capabilities to provide a fourth member for the "Project Slammer" team. [REDACTED]

25X1

2. This Agency's nominee for that position is [REDACTED], who is assigned to the Office of Security/Security Analysis Group. [REDACTED] is currently involved in research which correlates to the goals of "Project Slammer." It is our hope that [REDACTED] will be directly involved in the project and not function solely in an administrative capacity. [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

3 [REDACTED] may be contacted on black line [REDACTED]
secr [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

Page Denied

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Denied

S E C R E T

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, SECOM

FROM: [REDACTED]

25X1

SECOM Member

SUBJECT: Identification of Espionage Cases for "Project
Slammer" [REDACTED]

25X1

REFERENCE: SECOM-M-296, dated 6 March 1985, Meeting
Minutes for that date.

1. This memorandum is in response to Chairman, SECOM's request that a list of espionage cases from this Agency's files be provided to SECOM for possible inclusion in the "Project Slammer" study. [REDACTED]

25X1

2. Suggested for inclusion in this project are the Christopher Boyce/Andrew Daulton Lee, the David H. Barnett and the William Kampiles cases. Brief histories of these cases are attached as Tabs A, B, and C respectively. It should be noted that these individuals were the subjects of extensive interviews by this Agency at the time that their cases came to light. [REDACTED]

25X1

[REDACTED] The Office of Security, Security Analysis Group is the repository for information concerning those case studies. [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. Should there be any questions regarding the submission of these cases, please contact [REDACTED], Office of Security, Security Analysis Group or [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Attachments

25X1

S E C R E T

SUBJECT: Identification of Espionage Cases for "Project

OS/P&M/PPG (29 Mar 85)

25X1

Distribution:

- Orig - Adse
- 1 - D/S
- 1 - OS Registry
- 1 - PPG Chrono
- 1 - SECOM File

S E C R E T

Page Denied

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied

CONFIDENTIAL**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

SUBJECT: (Optional)

"Project Slammer"

FRC

NO.

DATE

19 March 1985

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. DD/PSI

2.

3. C/SAG

3/25/85 *BS*

4.

clerc.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

Attached is SECOM tasking regarding subject.

SAG is requested to identify espionage cases considered to be significant for inclusion in "Project Slammer."

It is requested that this information be provided to PPG by COB 26 March 1985. *

Please direct any questions to

** TAKE MORE TIME IF YOU NEED IT. **

5. Cases:

*Christopher Joyce**Andrew Boulton Lee**David H. Barnett**William CAMPBELL***CONFIDENTIAL**

Page Denied

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Denied

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, SECOM

FROM:

[REDACTED]
SECOM Member

25X1

SUBJECT:

Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified
Information in Unclassified Symposia [REDACTED]

25X1

REFERENCE:

SECOM-M-285, dated 22 February 1985, Same
Subject

1. This memorandum is in response to Chairman, SECOM's request for guidance on the problem of unauthorized disclosures of classified information in unclassified symposia. [REDACTED]

25X1

2. This Office's records show that the issue of unauthorized disclosures at unclassified symposia merits community concern. Examples of the problem have appeared recently in the media. Such unauthorized disclosures undermine the security discipline instilled in cleared personnel who must regularly interface with uncleared individuals in various professional, political, academic and social environments.

25X1

3. To effectively address this problem, attention should be given to:

The process by which outside activities are approved. The approval process should not be a "rubber stamp" transaction. Personnel submitting requests should be sensitized to the possible security problem before attending such symposia. Cognizant security officers should be encouraged to develop exacting request/approval procedures if they are not already in place. They should follow up with attendees of symposia to determine what, if anything, happened of Security significance.

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

Page Denied